

PROBLEM STATEMENT

Design a cryo-purification process that treats **30,000 bbl/day** of oil produced water to a maximum impurity level of **3,500** mg/L TDS for agricultural irrigation.



Utilize Alberta's freezing ambient winter temperatures to drive energy savings.

PROJECT DRIVERS



50% of global population experiences severe water scarcity at least once a year.

51 water shortage advisories currently in place for select water management areas across Alberta.



60% of consumed water in Alberta is for agricultural irrigation.

- Alberta produces **486.4 thousand bbl/day** of crude oil.
- For each barrel of oil **3-10 barrels** of water is produced.
- But oil produced water is too unsafe for re-use and is disposed by deep well injection.



- Deep well injection costs **\$1.60 \$2.10** per barrel.
- Current non-scalable produced water treatment processes range from **\$2.55 - \$10**.

PROCESS SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS



Cold PPE requirement for operators due to **low temperature** operating conditions.



Moving mechanical parts require special operator training and emergency shut-down procedures.



CI-: Chloride ions cause equipment corrosion so 316 stainless steel was used for exposed equipment.



CO₂ refrigerant may cause asphyxiation, requiring use of a ventilation system and safety control valves to prevent leaks.

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS



Reinjection has been related to seismic events; however, we are reducing the overall reinjection requirements by **66%**.



Spills will be a more concentrated brine than typical produced water. The process will be located near the reinjection well to reduce risk.



7.9 kgCO₂e/bbl GHG emissions. A renewable electricity source is needed to minimize the emission intensity of the process.

PRODUCT REGULATIONS					
Parameter	Units	Feed	Product	AB Reg	
Sodium Adsorption Ratio (SAR)	N/A	145	11 🗸	6	
Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)	mg/L	102,160	3,475 🗸	<3	
Electrical Conductivity	dS/m	8.0±4.9	1.5±0.4	0.5	

Cryo-purification as a Water Treatment System

Dawson Rowe, Elizabeth Pretorius, Juan Cepeda, Katana Guard Department of Chemical and Petroleum Engineering, University of Calgary





Notes

Thank you to our supervisor Dr. Roman Shor for his guidance and support throughout this project. Thank you to our industry sponsors Jesse Powell and Dustin Ellis from NCS Multistage for their technical guidance.





ECONOMICS Cryo-Purification \$247,000,000 \$20,400,000 \$2.03 \$1.64 \$7.59 **Revenue Sensitivity Analysis** Product Price (2.7¢/bbl – 3.5¢/bbl) Reinjection Cost (\$1.23/bbl - \$4.00/bbl) Electricity Cost (2.99 ¢/kWh – 16.0 ¢/kWh) Reduction Increase Net Revenue/bbl_Product (\$CAD) Fixed Capital Investment Cost Breakdown 1% 0%_3% 18% 2% 77% ■ Tankage ■ Heat Exchange ■ Separation ■ Compression ■ Pumping ■ CO2

HOW DO WE COMPARE?

Vapor Compression Distillation	Reverse Osmosis	Cryo-Purification	
\$170 - \$810	\$210 - \$450	\$1,100	
10.5 kWh/bbl	6 – 10 kWh/bbl	14.6 kWh/bbl	
3% - 4%	3% - 4%	<10%	
,000 – 345,000 bbl/d	<200,000 bbl/d	>30,000 bbl/d	
oflexible to flowrates	Membrane fouling	Concentration limited	
	Wentbrane rouning	recovery	

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS



